



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/437,345	11/09/1999	BRANT L. CANDELORE	80398.P215	7843
7	590 07/13/2004		EXAM	INER
JEFFREY S SMITH			FIELDS, COURTNEY D	
	KOLOFF TAYLOR &	ZAFMAN LLP	Apminum	DA DED MUADED
7TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			2137	
LOS ANGELE	ES, CA 90025		D. I D. J. J. J. D. D. J. J. J. D. D. J. J. D. D. J. J. D. D. D. J. J. D. D. J. J. D. D. J. J. D. D. J. J. D. D. D. J. J. D. D. D. J. J. D. D. D. J. D. D. D. J. D.	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/437,345	CANDELORE, BRANT L.
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit
	Courtney D. Fields	2137
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datheave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	;	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1~58</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:		WHI AS THE
BEST AVAIL	ABLE COPY	MATTHEW SMITHERS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Avt Uni+ 2137

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: After further review of the prior art (Wasilewski et al.), the Examiner believes the reference does teach storing entitlement information and the program instance in Column 4, lines 17-66 and Column 16, lines 3-11. Upon further consideration of the combination of (Muratani et al. and Wasilewski et al.), the Examiner believes the combination does suggest rescrambling (second scrambling) digital content into a second format using the second output and outputting the descrambled digital content using another output in Column 5, lines 9-67, Column 6, lines 1-62 and Figures 2 and 3.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY